Letter to the Editor

Intervirology

Intervirology DOI: 10.1159/000337238 Published online:

Alkhumra, Not Alkhurma, Is the Correct Name of the New Hemorrhagic Fever Flavivirus Identified in Saudi Arabia

Tariq A. Madani^a Esam I. Azhar^b El-Tayeb M.E. Abuelzein^b Moujahed Kao^b Hussein M.S. Al-Bar^c Matthias Niedrig^d Thomas G. Ksiazek^e

^aDepartment of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, ^bSpecial Infectious Agents Unit, King Fahd Medical Research Center, and ^cDepartment of Family and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ^dRobert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany; ^eGalveston National Laboratory, Galveston, Tex., USA © S. Karger AG, Basel **PROOF Copy for personal use only** ANY DISTRIBUTION OF THIS ARTICLE WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT FROM S. KARGER AG, BASEL IS A VIOLATION OF THE COPYRIGHT.

Sir,

We have the following comments on the paper published by Alzahrani et al. [1].

(1) The authors claim that the virus was named Alkhurma because the first case was reported from the Alkhurma governorate. They cited a reference that actually did not mention Alkhurma city while it clearly mentioned that the first 6 cases were butchers from Jeddah where Alkhumra district and not Alkhurma city is located [2]. The original publication that reported those same cases also described them as being from Jeddah and not from Alkhurma city [3]. According to the official reports from the Ministry of Health of Saudi Arabia, the first 6 cases were indeed from 'Alkhumra' district, a southern district in Jeddah that has been the location of livestock marketplaces and slaughterhouses, the characteristic environmental risk factors for acquiring this virus [4, 5]. Following re-identification of the virus in Makkah by Madani in February 2001, the Ministry of Health circulated a memo to all health sectors to alert them of this new virus and tentatively referred to it as Alkhumra virus (ALKV) for the first time [4, 5]. Subsequently, in August 2001, Zaki (a non-Saudi virologist working in Saudi Arabia), who received the aforementioned memo from the Ministry of Health in

April 2001, published with Charrel et al. [6] a paper describing the complete coding sequence of this virus, unfortunately mistakenly naming it Alkhurma not Alkhumra due to a transpositional typographical error where the 'm' and 'r' were reversed. The new name Alkhurma, erroneously now assigned to this virus in a number of other reports, refers to a small city, 280 kilometers from Alkhumra district in Jeddah and has had, to this point, nothing to do with this virus. All reported cases of ALKV identified to date in Saudi Arabia have been from Alkhumra/Jeddah, Makkah and more recently from Najran [4, 5]

(2) The authors erroneously cite that 37 suspected *ALKV* hemorrhagic fever cases, that included 20 laboratory-confirmed cases, were from Alkhumra district, south of Jeddah; the citation clearly described these cases as being from Makkah [4].

(3) The authors used the case definition for suspected *ALKV* infection developed by Madani without referring to the source [4].

(4) A comprehensive prospective epidemiological study in Najran for the period 2003–2009 published recently by us showed that only 3 (3.8%) out of 78 laboratory-confirmed cases of ALKV infection had a history of tick bites [5]. This figure stands in clear contrast to the figure (10 out of 28 patients or 36%) indicated by Alzahrani et al. bearing in mind that all the 28 cases described by Alzahrani et al. were included in our study. This difference is likely due to errors in recalling history retrospectively. Therefore, the conclusion made by Alzahrani et al. that ticks were important vectors for transmitting the virus cannot be accepted.

(5) Alzahrani et al. concluded that mosquitoes were not important in the transmission of the virus as there was no difference in the proportion of ALKV patients having a history of mosquito bites compared to the case-control group. This conclusion is not really valid since a casecontrol study is not the appropriate study design to draw such a conclusion from as the whole population in Najran lives in the same social and environmental conditions, including exposure to mosquitoes. Further field studies to demonstrate the presence of ALKV in various vector species and laboratory studies to confirm the vector by of vectors which are carrying the virus are the definitive ways to determine the true vectors involved in the transmission of ALKV.

KARGER

Fax +41 61 306 12 34 E-Mail karger@karger.ch www.karger.com © 2012 S. Karger AG, Basel 0300–5526/12/0000–0000\$38.00/0 Accessible online at:

www.karger.com/int

Prof. Tariq Ahmed Madani Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine King Abdulaziz University Hospital PO Box 80215, Jeddah 21589 (Saudi Arabia) Tel. +966 2 640 8348, E-Mail tmadani@kau.edu.sa

INT337238.indd 1

(6) The authors were correct in determining the immune status of individuals who were considered *ALKV* case patients, but the use of the term case patients in the report is somewhat confusing. Clearly, 11 of these patients had the disease and were case patients, but the remaining 17 were not truly case patients (although 4 were reported as having some symptoms compatible with *ALKV* disease). The report mentions that IgG status of the individuals was used to classify them as case patients in those instances in which serology rather than RT-PCR was used to identify their past infections. The IgG assay does not allow a temporal association of the past infection with a more recent *ALKV* infection as a positive IgM finding would have. This raises two issues about the design of the case-control study: (a) the methods state that case patients were questioned about the risk factors for the 30-day period prior to the onset of their disease (though 17 reported no illness) and controls were questioned for the 30 days prior to the administration of the questionnaire; (b) the real possibility that some of the serologically identified individuals had been infected in the quite distant past and the fact that they are questioned about recent events (30 days before the onset of illness) does not allow collecting information about the risk in the period prior to their actual past *ALKV* infection.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- 1 Alzahrani AG, Al Shaiban HM, Al Mazroa MA, Al-Hayani O, MacNeil A, Rollin PE, Memish ZE: Alkhurma hemorrhagic fever in humans, Najran, Saudi Arabia. Emerg Infect Dis 2010;16:1882–1888.
- 2 Zaki AM: Isolation of a flavivirus related to the tick-borne encephalitis complex from human cases in Saudi Arabia. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1997;91:179–181.
- 3 Qattan I, Akbar N, Afif H, Abu Azmah S, Al-Khateeb T, Zaki A, Division of Vector Borne Infectious Disease, National Center for Infectious Disease, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; in Al-Hamdan N, Fontaine RE: A Novel Flavivirus: Makkah Region 1994–1996. Saudi Epidemiol Bull (ISSN 1319-3965) 1996;3:1–3.
- 4 Madani TA: Alkhumra virus infection, a new viral hemorrhagic fever in Saudi Arabia. J Infect 2005;51:91–97.
- 5 Madani TA, Azhar EI, Abuelzein EME, Kao M, Al-Bar HMS, Abu-Araki H, Niedrig M, Ksiazek TG: Alkhumra (Alkhurma) virus outbreak in Najran, Saudi Arabia: epidemiological, clinical, and laboratory characteristics. J Infect 2011;62:67–76.
- 6 Charrel RN, Zaki AM, Attoui H, Fakeeh M, Billoir F, Yousef AI, de Chesse R, De Micco P, Gould EA, de Lamballerie X: Complete coding sequence of the Alkhurma virus, a tick-borne flavivirus causing severe hemorrhagic fever in humans in Saudi Arabia. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001;287:455– 461.

Madani/Azhar/Abuelzein/Kao/Al-Bar/ Niedrig/Ksiazek