

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA  
MINISTRY OF HIGHER EDUCATION  
KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY

المملكة العربية السعودية  
وزارة التعليم العالي  
جامعة الملك عبد العزيز

JOURNAL OF  
KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY  
"SCIENCE"



مجلة  
جامعة الملك عبد العزيز  
العلوم

Ref. ....  
Date .....

الرقم .....  
التاريخ ١٥ / ٥ / ٢٠٢٤

بحث رقم ( )

نموذج رقم (٥)

السعادة / الدكتور صباح بنه عبدالمطلب المنزعل Dr. S. A. Al-Mezel الموقر  
قسم الرياضيات  
السلام عليكم ورحمة الله وبركاته .. وبعد ..

نفيدكم بقبول البحث المقدم من قبلكم للنشر بمجلة جامعة الملك عبد العزيز « العلوم » ،  
Some Fixed point Theorems for Subcompatible Maps تحت عنوان :  
لبعض نظريات النقطة الثابتة للرواسم المنسجمة هزئياً

وسنوافيكم بالسودة لتصحيحها واعادتها الينا بأسرع ما يمكن .



رئيس التحرير  
د. د. حمزة بن علي العصيل

# Some Fixed Point Theorems For Subcompatible Maps <sup>1</sup>

S. A. Al-Mezel

Department of Mathematics,  
King Abdulaziz University,  
P.O.Box 80203  
Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

## Abstract

Common fixed point and invariant approximation results are presented for subcompatible maps, a class of noncommuting maps, recently introduced in the literature. This work extends some well-known results, especially, those of Hussain and Khan (2003), Hussain and Rhoades (2006), Sahab, Khan and Sessa (1998) and Singh (1979).

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $(E, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space. A family  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of seminorms on  $E$  is said to be an associated family of seminorms for  $\tau$  if the family  $\{\gamma U : \gamma > 0\}$ , where  $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$  and  $U_{\alpha_i} = \{x : p_{\alpha_i}(x) < 1\}$ , forms a base of neighborhoods of zero for  $\tau$ . A family  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of seminorms defined on  $E$  is called an augmented associated family for  $\tau$  if  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is an associated family with property that the seminorm  $\max\{p_\alpha, p_\beta\} \in \{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in I$ . The associated and augmented associated families of seminorms will be denoted by  $A(\tau)$  and  $A^*(\tau)$ , respectively. It is well known that given a locally convex space  $(E, \tau)$ , there always exists a family  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of seminorms defined on  $E$  such that  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} = A^*(\tau)$  (see [15]).

The following construction will be crucial. Suppose that  $M$  is  $\tau$ -bounded subset of  $E$ . For this set  $M$  we can select a number  $\lambda_\alpha > 0$  for each  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $M \subset \lambda_\alpha U_\alpha$  where  $U_\alpha = \{x : p_\alpha(x) \leq 1\}$ . Clearly,  $B = \bigcap_\alpha \lambda_\alpha U_\alpha$  is  $\tau$ -bounded,  $\tau$ -closed absolutely convex and contains  $M$ . The linear span  $E_B$  of  $B$  in  $E$  is  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty nB$ . The Minkowski functional of  $B$  is a norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$  on  $E_B$ . Thus  $(E_B, \|\cdot\|_B)$  is a normed space with  $B$  as its closed unit ball and  $\sup_\alpha p_\alpha(x/\lambda_\alpha) = \|x\|_B$  for each  $x \in E_B$  (see [15] and [22]).

---

<sup>1</sup>Key words: fixed point, subcompatible maps, compatible maps, invariant approximation.  
2000 Mathematics subject classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Let  $M$  be a subset of a locally convex space  $(E, \tau)$ . Let  $I : M \rightarrow M$  be a mapping. A mapping  $T : M \rightarrow M$  is called  $I$ -Lipschitz if there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that

$$p_\alpha(Tx - Ty) \leq kp_\alpha(Ix - Iy)$$

for any  $x, y \in M$  and for all  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ . If  $k < 1$  (respectively,  $k = 1$ ), then  $T$  is called an  $I$ -contraction (respectively,  $I$ -nonexpansive). A point  $x \in M$  is a common fixed point of  $I$  and  $T$  if  $x = Ix = Tx$ . The set of fixed points of  $I$  is denoted by  $F(I)$ . The pair  $\{I, T\}$  is called: (1) commuting if  $TIx = ITx$  for all  $x \in M$ . (2)  $R$ -weakly commuting if for all  $x \in M$  and for all  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ , there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $p_\alpha(ITx - TIx) \leq Rp_\alpha(Ix - Tx)$ . If  $R = 1$ , then the maps are called weakly commuting. (3) compatible, if for all  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ ,  $\lim_n p_\alpha(TIx_n - ITx_n) = 0$  when  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_n Tx_n = \lim_n Ix_n = t$  for some  $t$  in  $M$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is  $q$ -starshaped with  $q \in F(I)$ , we define  $S_q(I, T) := \cup\{S(I, T_k) : 0 \leq k \leq 1\}$  where  $T_kx = (1-k)q + kTx$  and  $S(I, T_k) = \{\{x_n\} \subset M : \lim_n Ix_n = \lim_n T_kx_n = t \in M \Rightarrow \lim_n p_\alpha(IT_kx_n - T_kIx_n) = 0\}$ , for all  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ . Then  $I$  and  $T$  are called: (4) subcompatible if

$$\lim_n p_\alpha(ITx_n - TIx_n) = 0$$

for all sequences  $\{x_n\} \in S_q(I, T)$ , (5)  $R$ -subcommuting on  $M$ , if for all  $x \in M$  and for all  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ , there exists a real number  $R > 0$  such that  $p_\alpha(ITx - TIx) \leq \frac{R}{k}p_\alpha(((1-k)q + kTx) - Ix)$  for each  $k \in (0, 1]$ . If  $R = 1$ , then the maps are called 1-subcommuting; (6)  $R$ -subweakly commuting on  $M$ , if for all  $x \in M$  and for all  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ , there exists a real number  $R > 0$  such that  $p_\alpha(ITx - TIx) \leq Rd_{p_\alpha}(Ix, [q, Tx])$ , where  $[q, x] = \{(1-k)q + kx : 0 \leq k \leq 1\}$ .

Note that subcompatible maps are compatible [1] but the converse does not hold, in general. Recall that weakly commuting maps are compatible but converse fails to hold.

If  $u \in E, M \subseteq E$ , then we define the set  $P_M(u)$  of best  $M$ -approximants to  $u$  as follows:

$$P_M(u) = \{y \in M : p_\alpha(y - u) = d_{p_\alpha}(u, M), \forall p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)\},$$

where

$$d_{p_\alpha}(u, M) = \inf\{p_\alpha(x - u) : x \in M\}.$$

A mapping  $T : M \rightarrow M$  is called demiclosed at 0 if for every sequence  $\{x_n\} \in M$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x$  and  $\{Tx_n\}$  converges strongly to 0, we have  $Tx = 0$ .

In [4], Fisher and Sessa obtained the following generalization of a theorem of Gregus [5].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $T$  and  $I$  be two weakly commuting mapping of a closed convex subset  $C$  of a Banach spaces  $X$  into itself satisfying the inequality

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq a\|Ix - Iy\| + (1 - a) \max\{\|Tx - Ix\|, \|Tx - Ix\|\},$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ , where  $a \in (0, 1)$ . If  $I$  is linear and nonexpansive on  $C$  and  $T(C) \subseteq I(C)$ , then  $T$  and  $I$  have a unique common fixed point in  $C$ .

In 1993, Jungck and Rhoades [11] obtained the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $T$  and  $I$  be compatible self maps of  $C$ , a closed convex subset of a Banach space  $X$ , satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\| \leq & \alpha\|Ix - Iy\| + \beta \max\{\|Tx - Ix\|, \|Ty - Iy\|\} \\ & + \gamma \max\{\|Ix - Iy\|, \|Tx - Ix\|, \|Ty - Iy\|\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ . If  $I$  is linear and continuous in  $C$  and  $T(C) \subseteq I(C)$ , then  $T$  and  $I$  have a unique common fixed point.

In this paper, we first prove that Theorems 1-2 can be extended to the setup of a Hausdorff locally convex space. As application, common fixed point and invariant approximation results for subcompatible maps are derived. Our results extend and unify the work of Baskaran and Subrahmanyam [2], Brosowski [3], Hussain and Khan [6], Jungck and Sessa [12], Khan and Hussain [13], Pathak, Cho, and Kang [17], Sahab, Khan, and Sessa [18], Shahzad [20] and Singh [21]. For recent results, on common fixed point and approximations, we refer the reader to [8, 9, 12, 13].

## 2. Main results

**Lemma 1.** Let  $T$  and  $I$  be compatible selfmaps of a  $\tau$ -bounded subset  $M$  of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $(E, \tau)$ . Then  $T$  and  $I$  are compatible on  $M$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_B$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis, there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\lim_n p_\alpha(ITx_n - ITx_n) = 0$  for each  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ , whenever  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\alpha(Tx_n - t) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\alpha(Ix_n - t)$  for some  $t \in M$ . Taking supremum on both sides, we get

$$\sup_\alpha \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\alpha\left(\frac{ITx_n - ITx_n}{\lambda_\alpha}\right) = \sup_\alpha p_\alpha\left(\frac{0}{\lambda_\alpha}\right)$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_\alpha p_\alpha\left(\frac{ITx_n - ITx_n}{\lambda_\alpha}\right) = 0$$

whenever,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Tx_n - t}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Ix_n - t}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right).$$

Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|ITx_n - TIx_n\|_B = 0$ , whenever  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - t\|_B = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ix_n - t\|_B$  as desired.  $\square$

The next theorem generalizes Theorems 1-2.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $M$  be a nonempty  $\tau$ -bounded,  $\tau$ -complete, and convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $(E, \tau)$  and  $T$  and  $I$  be compatible selfmaps of  $M$  satisfying the inequality*

$$p_{\alpha}(Tx - Ty) \leq ap_{\alpha}(Ix - Iy) + b \max\{p_{\alpha}(Tx - Ix), p_{\alpha}(Ty - Iy)\} + c \max\{p_{\alpha}(Ix - Iy), p_{\alpha}(Tx - Ix), p_{\alpha}(Ty - Iy)\}, \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in M$  and for all  $p_{\alpha} \in A^*(\tau)$ , where  $a, b, c > 0$  and  $a + b + c = 1$ . If  $I$  is linear and nonexpansive on  $M$  and  $T(M) \subseteq I(M)$ , then  $T$  and  $I$  have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is  $\tau$ -complete, it follows that  $(E_B, \|\cdot\|_B)$  is a Banach space and  $M$  is complete in it. By Lemma 1,  $T$  and  $I$  are compatible with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_B$  on  $M$ . From (1) we obtain for  $x, y \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Tx - Ty}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right) &\leq \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Ix - Iy}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right) \\ &+ a \max \left\{ \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Tx - Ix}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right), \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Ty - Iy}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right) \right\} \\ &+ b \max \left\{ \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Ix - Iy}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right), \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Tx - Ix}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right), \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \left( \frac{Ty - Iy}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|_B &\leq a \|Ix - Iy\|_B + b \max \{ \|Tx - Ix\|_B, \|Ty - Iy\|_B \} \\ &+ c \max \{ \|Ix - Iy\|_B, \|Tx - Ix\|_B, \|Ty - Iy\|_B \}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown easily that  $I$  is  $\|\cdot\|_B$ -nonexpansive on  $M$ . A comparison of our hypothesis with that of Theorem 2 tells that we can apply Theorem 2 to  $M$  as a subset of  $(E_B, \|\cdot\|_B)$  to conclude that there exists a unique  $a \in M$  such that  $a = Ia = Ta$ .  $\square$

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 3 in [20] and corresponding result in [8] to a more general class of functions.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $T$  and  $I$  be selfmaps of a convex subset  $M$  of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $(E, \tau)$ . Suppose that  $I$  is nonexpansive and linear on  $M$ ,  $q \in F(I)$  and  $T(M) \subseteq I(M)$ . Assume that the pair  $\{I, T\}$  is subcompatible and satisfies, for all  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ ,  $x, y \in M$ , and for all  $k \in (0, 1)$  with  $0 < a, b < 1$ ,  $a + b = 1$

$$P_\alpha(Tx - Ty) \leq p_\alpha(Ix - Iy) + a\left(\frac{1-k}{k}\right) \max \left\{ d_{p_\alpha}(Ix, [q, Tx]), d_{p_\alpha}(Iy, [q, Ty]) \right\} \\ + b\left(\frac{1-k}{k}\right) \max \left\{ d_{p_\alpha}(Ix, [q, Iy]), d_{p_\alpha}(Ix, [q, Tx]), d_{p_\alpha}(Iy, [q, Ty]) \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Then  $I$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point in  $M$  provided one of the following conditions holds:

(i)  $M$  is  $\tau$ -compact and  $T$  is continuous.

(ii)  $M$  is weakly compact in  $(E, \tau)$ ,  $I$  is weakly continuous and  $I - T$  is demiclosed at 0.

*Proof.* Let  $\{k_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $0 < k_n < 1$  and  $\lim_n k_n = 1$ . Define for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a mapping  $T_n : M \rightarrow M$  by

$$T_n(x) = k_n Tx + (1 - k_n)q,$$

for some  $q$  and all  $x \in M$ . Then for each  $n$ ,  $T_n(M) \subseteq I(M)$ , since  $I$  is linear,  $Iq = q$  and  $T(M) \subseteq I(M)$ .

Since, the pair  $\{I, T\}$  is subcompatible, for any  $\{x_m\} \subset M$  with  $\lim_m Ix_m = \lim_m T_n x_m = t \in M$ , we have

$$\lim_m p_\alpha(T_n Ix_m - IT_n x_m) = k_n \lim_m p_\alpha(TIx_m - ITx_m) \\ = 0.$$

Thus, the pair  $\{I, T_n\}$  is compatible on  $M$  for each  $n$ . We obtain from (2),

$$p_\alpha(T_n x - T_n y) = k_n p_\alpha(Tx - Ty) \\ \leq k_n p_\alpha(Ix - Iy) + a(1 - k_n) \max \{ p_\alpha(Ix - T_n x), p_\alpha(Iy - T_n y) \} \\ + b(1 - k_n) \max \{ p_\alpha(Ix - Iy), p_\alpha(Ix - T_n x), p_\alpha(Iy - T_n y) \}$$

for each  $x, y \in M$  and for all  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ ,  $0 < k_n < 1$ . Note that  $k_n + a(1 - k_n) + b(1 - k_n) = 1$  for all  $n$ .

(i)  $M$  being  $\tau$ -compact is  $\tau$ -bounded and  $\tau$ -complete. Thus by Theorem 3, for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists an  $x_n \in M$  such that  $x_n = Ix_n = T_n x_n$ . Now the  $\tau$ -compactness of  $M$  ensures that  $\{x_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_j\}$  which converges to a point  $x_0 \in M$ . Since

$$x_j = T_j x_j = k_j T x_j + (1 - k_j)$$

and  $T$  is continuous, so we have, as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Tx_0 = x_0$ . The continuity of  $I$  implies that

$$Ix_0 = I(\lim_j x_j) = \lim_j I(x_j) = \lim_j x_j = x_0.$$

(ii) Weakly compact sets in  $(E, \tau)$  are  $\tau$ -bounded and  $\tau$ -complete so again by Theorem 3,  $T_n$  and  $I$  have a common fixed point  $x_n$  in  $M$  for each  $n$ . The set  $M$  is weakly compact so there is a subsequence  $\{x_j\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  converging weakly to some  $y \in M$ . The map  $I$  being weakly continuous gives that  $Iy = y$ . Now

$$x_j = I(x_j) = T_j(x_j) = k_jTx_j + (1 - k_j)q$$

implies that  $Ix_j - Tx_j = (1 - k_j)[q - Tx_j] \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . The demiclosedness of  $I - T$  at 0 implies that  $(I - T)(y) = 0$ . Hence  $Iy = Ty = y$ .  $\square$

As an application of Theorem 4, we establish the following result in best approximation theory which extends and improves the corresponding results in [2, 3, 6, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21]

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $T$  and  $I$  be selfmaps of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $(E, \tau)$  and  $M$  a subset of  $E$  such that  $T(\partial M) \subseteq M$ , where  $\partial M$  denotes boundary of  $M$  and  $u \in F(T) \cap F(I)$ . If  $P_M(u)$  is nonempty convex,  $q \in F(I)$ ,  $I$  is nonexpansive and linear on  $P_M(u)$  and  $I(P_M(u)) = P_M(u)$ . Suppose that the pair  $\{I, T\}$  is subcompatible on  $P_M(u)$  and satisfies, for all  $x \in P_M(u) \cup \{u\}$ ,  $p_\alpha \in A^*(\tau)$ ,  $k \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$p_\alpha(Tx - Ty) \leq \begin{cases} p_\alpha(Ix - Iu) & \text{if } y = u; \\ \Lambda(x, y) & \text{if } y \in P_M(u) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(x, y) = & p_\alpha(Ix - Iy) + a\left(\frac{1-k}{k}\right) \max \left\{ d_{p_\alpha}(Ix, [q, Tx]), d_{p_\alpha}(Iy, [q, Ty]) \right\} \\ & + b\left(\frac{1-k}{k}\right) \max \left\{ d_{p_\alpha}(Ix, [q, Iy]), d_{p_\alpha}(Ix, [q, Tx]), d_{p_\alpha}(Iy, [q, Ty]) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $P_M(u) \cap F(T) \cap F(I) \neq \emptyset$ , provided one of the following conditions holds:

- (i)  $P_M(u)$  is  $\tau$ -compact and  $T$  is continuous.
- (ii)  $P_M(u)$  is weakly compact in  $(E, \tau)$ ,  $I$  is weakly continuous and  $I - T$  is demiclosed at 0.

*Proof.* Let  $y \in P_M(u)$ . Then  $Iy \in P_M(u)$ , since  $I(P_M(u)) = P_M(u)$ . Further, if  $y \in \partial M$  then  $Iy \in M$  for  $T(\partial M) \subseteq M$ . Also since  $Ix \in P_M(u)$ ,  $u \in F(T) \cap F(I)$  and  $I$  and  $T$  satisfy (3), we have

$$p_\alpha(Tx - u) = p_\alpha(Tx - Tu) \leq p_\alpha(Ix - Iu) = p_\alpha(Ix - u) = d_{p_\alpha}(u, M),$$

for each  $p_a \in A^*(\tau)$ . Thus  $Tx \in P_M(u)$  which implies that  $T$  maps  $P_M(u)$  into itself and the conclusion follows from Theorem 4.  $\square$

*Acknowledgment.* The author thanks the referees for their valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

## References

- [1] **S. Al-Mezel and N. Hussain**, On common fixed point and approximation results of Gregus type, *International Math. Forum*, 2, 2007, no. 37, 1839-1847.
- [2] **R. Baskaran and P. V. Subrahmanyam**, Common fixed points in closed balls, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis Univ. Modena*, 36 (1988), no 1, 1-5.
- [3] **B. Brosowski**, Fixpunktsätze in der Approximationstheorie, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, 11 (34) (1969), 195-220.
- [4] **B. Fisher and S. Sessa**, On a fixed point theorem of Gregus, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9 (1986), no. 1, 23-28.
- [5] **M. Gregus**, A fixed point theorem in Banach space, *Boll. Un Mat. Ital.*, A (5), 17 (1980), no. 1, 193-198.
- [6] **N. Hussain and A. R. Khan**, Common fixed points results in best approximation theory, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 16 (2003), 575-580.
- [7] **N. Hussain, A. Latif and S. Al-Mezel**, Noncommuting maps and invariant approximations, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, v.4, no. 4(2007), 11 pages. (To appear).
- [8] **N. Hussain, D. O'Regan and R. P. Agarwal**, Common fixed point and invariant approximations results on non-starshaped domains, *Georgian Math. J.*, 12 (2005), 659-669.
- [9] **N. Hussain and B. E. Rhoades**,  $C_q$ -commuting maps and invariant approximations, *Fixed point Theory and Appl.*, 2006 (2006), 9 pages.
- [10] **G. Jungck**, Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps on compacta, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130 (1988), no. 3, 977-983.
- [11] **G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades**, Some fixed point theorems for compatible maps, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 16 No. 3 (1993), 417 - 428.
- [12] **G. Jungck and S. Sessa**, Fixed point theorems in best approximation theory, *Math. Japon.*, 42 (1995), no. 2, 249-252.



- [13] **A. R. Khan and N. Hussain**, An extension of a theorem of Sahab, Khan and Sessa, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27 (2001), 701-706.
- [14] **A. R. Khan, N. Hussain, and L. A. Khan**, A note on Kakutani type fixed point theorems, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 24 (2000), no. 4, 231-235.
- [15] **G. Kothe**, *Topological vector spaces. I*, Springer-verlag, New York, 1969.
- [16] **R. N. Mukherjee and V. Verma**, A note on a fixed point theorem of Gregus, *Math. Japon.*, 33 (1998), no. 5, 745-749.
- [17] **H. K. Pathak, Y. J. Cho, and S. M. Kang**, An application of fixed point theorems in best approximation theory, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 21 (1998), no. 3, 467-470.
- [18] **S. A. Sahab, M. S. Khan, and S. Sessa**, A result in best approximation theory, *J. Approx. Theory*, 55 (1998), no. 3, 349-351.
- [19] **S. Sessa**, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math., (N.S.)* 32(46) (1982), 149-153.
- [20] **N. Shahzad**, On  $R$ -Subcommuting maps and best approximations in Banach spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 32 (2001), 51-53.
- [21] **S. P. Singh**, An application of a fixed-point theorem to approximation theory, *J. Approx. Theory*, 25 (1979), no. 1, 89-90.
- [22] **E. Tarafdar**, Some fixed-point theorems on locally convex linear topological spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 13 (1975), no. 2, 241-254.