# The Academic Achievement of Students at Colleges of Higher Education in Relation to Admission Requirements

## J.M. LABANI

Civil Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT. The investigation attempts to define the relationship between college admission requirements and the academic achievement of students. The academic records of 600 students were taken at random from twelve colleges and analyzed statistically. The investigation revealed an almost complete lack of correlation, at 5% level of significance, between admission requirements and academic achievement.

Recommendations are made to alter present admission requirements and procedures, changes to the educational environment at the last phases of general education, and unification of the educational authorities.

#### Introduction

Admission requirements at universities are the basis of selection procedures by which applications for admissions are accepted or rejected. The conditions are based upon past academic performance as suggested by the grades of the General Certificate of Education, GCE. The minimum requirements for admission differ from one college to another. Among the influences on admission policies are :

1. The availability of sufficient space and facilities.

2. The availability of enough experienced staff.

3. Applicants' marks in the General Certificate of Education.

4. Projected market manpower requirement, in number and quality, for graduates of specific colleges.

5. Non-educational pressures: Financial, social, etc.

Thus, the scope of a coherent admission policy extends beyond publicly enunciated admission requirements and procedure. Yet, it is these conditions and procedures which concern the student, the parent, and the population at large, and form their attitudes towards institutions of higher education. It is also the formulation of these conditions and their implementation which appear to take up a significant amount of the time and energy of the administrators and academic staff. Accordingly, investigations of admission requirements are necessary. The present investigation was carried out on the academic records of 600 students from twelve colleges. Its objective is to attempt to define the relationship between academic achievement of students in two different environments, namely, that of genral and university education. In other words, the investigation attempts to answer the following question: Can expectations be properly based on extrapolation of records of past performance in the dynamic state of development?

## **Basic Data**

The academic records of 50 students were taken at random from the student population of each of twelve colleges and investigated. These records consisted of the results of the General Certificate of Education, GCE, and the Grade Point Average, GPA, obtained at the university. The GPA of a student is the total number of points gained divided by the number of credit hours attempted. It may, therefore, be considered a measure of the efficiency of the student in the pursuit of his objective. Conversely, it may be a measure of the academic institution's efficiency in pursuit of its objectives. Viewed as an efficiency factor, the GPA is not affected by the duration of enrollment of the student at the university.

#### Analysis

Standard statistical analysis was used to calculate the correlation coefficient of each pair of results in the form of Spearman's " $\rho$ ". The value of student's "t" was calculated for each correlation coefficient, using the approximate expression<sup>[1]</sup>:

$$t = \rho \cdot \sqrt{(N-2)/(1-\rho^2)}$$

where N = size of sample.

Single-tailed probability for values of "*t*" more than the values obtained from using the above expression were calculated. Significance levels of 5% and 64% were used to test the hypothesis that there is no correlation between the variables. The 5% level test was carried out on the assumption that the basic data are reliable in statistical terms. The assumption of a far lesser degree of reliability of data accuracy underlie the use of the 64% level test<sup>[2]</sup>.

## **Description of Results**

The basic data used and detailed analytical results for one college only are placed in the Appendix, as an example, and are described there. The following paragraphs describe the main results which are contained in Tables 1 to 6. Numbers within the tables relating to college requirements are identified; dashes were placed where the information was not necessary for a particular college.

Table lists the admission requirements at twelve colleges. The main requirement is the attainment of a minimum GCE mark, or grade, and varies from 60% (Pharmacy) to 85% (Medicine). Six of the colleges impose additional subjects requirements, mainly in science subjects and English language.

NAME OF COLLEGE	TYPE OF GCE*	TOTAL GCE MARK, %	ADDITIONAL SUBJECT REQUIREMENTS
Administrative Sciences	Science or Arts	80% Science 80% Arts	None
Agriculture	Science	75%	Not less than 70% in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology
Architecture and Planning	Science or Arts	70% Science 80% Arts	Not less than 75% in Physics, Chemistry, and Mathematics for Science GCE
Arts	Science or Arts	75%	Variable
Dentistry	Science	80%	Not less than 80% in Physics, Chemistry, Biology, and English
Education	Science or Arts	65% Science	None
Luucation	Science of Arts	75% Arts	
Engineering	Science	75% Arts 75%	Not less than 75% in Physics, chemistry, and Mathematics
Engineering Information & Computer Sciences	Science	75% Arts 75%	Not less than 75% in Physics, chemistry, and Mathematics None
Engineering Information & Computer Sciences Medicine	Science Science Science	75% Arts 75% 80% 85%	Not less than 75% in Physics, chemistry, and Mathematics None Not less than 80% in Physics, Chemistry, Biology, and English
Engineering Information & Computer Sciences Medicine Paramedical Studies	Science Science Science Science	75% Arts 75% 80% 85% 70%	Not less than 75% in Physics, chemistry, and Mathematics None Not less than 80% in Physics, Chemistry, Biology, and English None
Engineering Information & Computer Sciences Medicine Paramedical Studies Pharmacy	Science Science Science Science Science	75% Arts 75% 80% 85% 70% 60%	Not less than 75% in Physics, chemistry, and Mathematics None Not less than 80% in Physics, Chemistry, Biology, and English None Not less than 70% in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology

TABLE 1. Admission requirements at some colleges of higher education.

GCE: General Certificate of Education.

Table 2 shows the number of data of finite values, described hereafter as "non-zero students' marks", used in the analyses and the various subjects and combinations of subjects which were considered. The apparent discrepancies in the number of non-zero students' marks used in the analyses are mainly due to the following :

a) Zero values for GPA which resulted in the reduction of the sample for the Colleges of Education and Medicine.

b) Non availability of GCE diplomas.

c) Non uniformity in the presentation of information in GCE diplomas issued by various educational authorities.

TABLE 2. Number of non-zero students' marks analyzed.

#### Column Headings:

#### University:

(1): Grade Point Average, GPA, relative to 5.0 points.

General Certificate of Education, GCE, % .:

- (2): Total mark,
- (3): Religious subjects,
- (4): Arabic language & theoretical subjects,
- (5): Science subjects,
- (6): Mathematics,
- (7): Physics,
- (8): Chemistry,
- (9): Biology,
- (10): English language,
- (11): Non-academic activities.

COLLEGE	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Administrative Sciences	50	44	44	44	32	32		-	-	44	38
Agriculture	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	49	50	42
Architecture and Planning	50	48	47	48	47	47	47	47		48	3 <b>9</b>
Arts	50	47	46	47	15	14	-			43	39
Dentistry	50	49	48	49	49	49	49	49	49	48	44
Education	49	41	40	41	28	28		-		40	29
Engineering	50	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	-	46	39
Information & - Computer Sciences	50	45	43	44	45	45			-	45	23
Medicine	49	47 ·	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47
Paramedical Studies	50	38	38	38	38	38		-	-	38	34
Pharmacy	50	48	48	48	48	48	47	47	47	48	39
Science	50	46	46	46	46	46	-		-	46	34

*Note:* Figures in the table represent the numbers of students considered in the analyses. The difference between each figure and the maximum, 50, is due to lack of information as explained earlier

Table 3 shows the degree of compliance with admission requirements in both the general and additional requirements. The Table is self-explanatory.

COLLEGE	Total GCE	Mathem- atics	Physics	Chemistry	Biology	English
Administrative Sciences	70		-	-	-	-
Agriculture	64	-	74	70	29	
Architecture and Planning	8	32	57	47	-	-
Arts	53	-	-	-		
Dentistry	57	-	71	57	35	73
Education	17	-	-	-		-
Engineering	7	13	35	46		
Information & Computer Sciences	62	•	-	-	-	•
Medicine	28	-	40	32	13	47
Paramedical Studies	24	-	-	-	-	-
Pharmacy	0	-	62	66	30	-
Science	15	•	-	-	-	-

TABLE 3. Percentages of admissions with marks below minimum requirements.

Table 4 shows the mean values of GPA's and marks obtained in various subjects of the GCE. It is to be noted that the average mark for Mathematics (68%) was obtained in the GCE by students of the Colleges of Art and Science. It is to be noted also that relatively high marks are given to students for non academic activities; inclusion of marks for these in the total GCE marks adds to it 2% to 3%.

Table 5 shows values of standard deviation for the marks used in the analyses and indicate the degree of dispersion of the marks. Thus, the GCE marks for mathematics of two thirds of the students of the College of Arts were in the range 56-80%. On the other hand, the marks for mathematics for a similar proportion of the students of the College of Science were in the range 53-83%. Thus, assuming normal contributions of GCE results, a major proportion of the students of the College of Arts and Science have comparable mathematical abilities. The College of Arts, however, does not include mathematics in its curricula. Languages, however, as structured forms of communications, are amenable to mathematical analysis, and may benefit from the use of mathematical logic.

Table 6 indicates the existence, or non existence, of correlation between the GPA and GCE results at two levels of significance: 5% and 64%. The results show that, with few exceptions (Colleges of Arts, Education, and Paramedical Studies), there is no correlation between GCE marks and GPA using a 5% significance level. It also

TABLE 4. Mean values of grade point averages and GCE marks.

#### Column Headings:

University:

(1): Grade Point Average, GPA, relative to 5.0 points.

General Certificate of Education, GCE, % .:

- (2): Total mark,
- (3): Religious subjects,
- (4): Arabic language & theoretical subjects,
- (5): Science subjects,
- (6): Mathematics,
- (7): Physics,
- (8): Chemistry,
- (9): Biology,(10): English language,
- (11): Non-academic activities.

COLLEGE	(1)	(2)	(3)	<b>{4}</b>	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Administrative Sciences	2.14	76 <sup>•</sup>	78	75	71	72	-	-		69	95
Agriculture	2.39	73 <sup>•</sup>	76	71	70	71	65 <sup>°</sup>	66	73 <b>*</b>	65	96
Architecture and Planning	2.90	79 <sup>•</sup>	83	79	76	78 <sup>•</sup>	73*	74 <sup>•</sup>		75	96
Arts	2.38	74 <sup>•</sup>	78	75	65	68		-	-	66	94
Dentistry	3.07	78 <sup>•</sup>	83	76	78	77	73 <sup>•</sup>	76*	82	71	94
Education	2.36	72	79	71	67	69	-	-	-	63	94
Engineering	2.41	83	85	81	81	83*	78 <sup>•</sup>	77*	-	74	97
Information & Computer Sciences	2.81	76 <sup>•</sup>	81	73	73	80	-	-		66	96
Medicine	2.84	87	91	87	85	86	80°	81 <sup>•</sup>	89*	80*	98
Paramedical Studies	2.64	75 <sup>•</sup>	80	76	71	73	-	-	-	68	97
Pharmacy	2.74	77 <b>'</b>	83	75	75	75	71 <sup>•</sup>	71 <sup>•</sup>	80	71	95
Science	2.28	73 <sup>•</sup>	77	73	70	68		-		68	94

Note: NN\* for subject required by college - compare with figures shown in Table 1.

shows that, except for the Colleges of Agriculture and Engineering, there is correlation between GCE marks and GPA using a significance level of 64%. It is to be noted that a negative correlation indicates an inverse relationship.

## **Comments, Recommendations and Conclusions**

The investigation revealed that, to a large extent, existing admission requirements are not adhered to. Whether this non adherence has adverse effect on higher education or not is a matter of conjecture. The origins and objectives of the conditions are

TABLE 5. Values of standard deviation.

#### Column Headings:

#### University:

(1): Grade Point Average, GPA, relative to 5.0 points.

General Certificate of Education, GCE, % .:

- (2): Total mark,
- (3): Religious subjects,
- (4): Arabic language & theoretical subjects,
- (5): Science subjects,
- (6): Mathematics,
- (7): Physics,
- (8): Chemistry,
- (9): Biology,
- (10): English language,
- (11): Non-academic activities.

COLLEGE	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Administrative Sciences	0.35	8	10	10	9	14	-	-	-	13	5
Agriculture	0.48	8	9	10	9	12	10	10	-	10	4
Architecture and Planning	0.65	8	11	10	9	10	12	10		11	4
Arts	0.51	6	8	7	17	12	0	0		13	6
Dentistry	0.44	11	8	8	8	10	11	10	10	11	6
Education	0.53	10	8	11	13	13	-	-	-	13	6
Engineering	0.52	6	7	9	8	7	10	11	-	14	3
Information & Computer Sciences	0.77	9	9	10	12	15	-	-	-	18	3
Medicine	0.65	6	5	6	8	9	11	11	-	9	2
Paramedical Studies	0.60	8	9	9	9	11	-	-	-	14	3
Pharmacy	0.66	8	8	10	8	12	12	11	-	9	5
Science	0.52	8	10	9	9	15		-	-	14	6

themselves not clear. It is not clear, for example, why the total GCE mark is given precedence over specialized subjects in terms of admission. This stipulation appears to lead to the loss of high quality students, from an academic standpoint, due to their inability to obtain high total GCE marks. If, as is more likely, the distribution of GCE marks on the various subjects indicates a student's natural tendency and ability, the admission requirements must ensure the enrollment of students having the highest possible marks in the specialized subjects. Here the total GCE mark, less marks for non academic activities, should be given a secondary place. It is recognized, however, that regulations, and the effort put into formulating them, are worthless without the will, or ability, to carry them out. In such circumstances, ran-

	SUBJECTS & LIMITS OF CONFIDENC												
COLLEGE	Total GCE		Mathem- atics		Phy	Physics		Chemistry		Biology		English	
	5%	64%	5%	64%	5%	64%	5%	64%	5%	64%	5%	64%	
Administrative Sciences	NC	+ C	-	•		-	-						
Agriculture	NC	NC	-	•	NC	+ C	NC	NC	NC	-C	-	-	
Architecture and Planning	NC	+ C	NC	+C	NC	+ C	NC	+ C	-	-			
Arts	+ C	+ C	-	-		-		-					
Dentistry	NC	-C			NC	-c	NC	-C	NC	+ C	NC	+ C	
Education	+ C	+ C	-				-	-			-		
Engineering	NC	NC	NC	+ C	NC	NC	NC	NC	-		-		
Information & Computer Sciences	NC	+ C	-	•				-	-		·		
Medicine	NC	+ C	•	•	NC	+ C	NC	+ C	NC	NC	NC	-C	
Paramedical Studies	+ C	+ C	-		-	-	-		-				
Pharmacy	NC	+ C	-	•	NC	+ C	NC	+ C	NC	+ C	-		
Science	NC	+ C					-					-	

TABLE 6. Correlation table for GPA and GCE marks for subjects required for admission to colleges.

+C = positive correlation.

-C = negative correlation.

NC = no correlation.

dom selection may be a better choice and various methods, giving fair and equitable chances to all applicants, can be devised and implemented. An alternative, perhaps for future consideration, is the establishment of fees for higher education with proper safeguards and sound basis for a system of grants, from official and private bodies, for the benefit of students needing financial help.

The correlation between GCE marks and GPA was almost non existent. The 64% level of significance represents a very high degree of uncertainty and is not likely to be the result of inconsistent evaluation at schools. Correlation tables (Tables 4A & 5A, Appendix) indicate almost complete correlation, at 5% and 64% significance levels, between the marks obtained in various subjects at the GCE level. It is more likely that evaluation at university level is the source of such a high degree of uncertainty.

It is clear that institutions of general and university education are separate entities, having separate environments, with obvious boundaries. Teaching methods, level of maturity and mental attitude, parental supervision, personal awareness and independence are all expressed and experienced differently in those two environments. Thus, the transfer from one environment to the other is not a smooth transition but, to many students, a bewildering leap into the unknown. To achieve a smooth transition from one system to the other, there is a need for consciously formulated policy to blur the boundaries between them. A gradual change of teaching methods and educational attitudes can be adopted during the last phases of general education. Such a policy may help to bridge the gap between the environments in the two systems. Unification of the two systems under a single active policy-making and controlling authority would help to achieve the same objective more efficiently.

Returning to the question posed earlier in the Introduction. Can expectations be properly based on extrapolation of records of past performance in the dynamic state of development? The results of the investigation lead to two conclusions. First, if the basic information used is assumed to be correct, then the answer to the question stated above is negative; different admission requirements have to be devised and justified. Second, if the basic information is assumed to contain a large element of uncertainty as suggested by an earlier investigation<sup>[2]</sup>, then the answer is, to a large extent, positive; steps have to be taken to reduce the uncertainty (Table 6). Acceptance of either conclusion is, therefore, dependent upon confidence in the evaluation of the academic records which, itself, is related to the experience and wisdom of the academic staff.

Although the investigation is based on conditions at one university, its conclusions are applicable to other universities where similar conditions may prevail.

#### Acknowledgment

The Author expresses his thanks and gratitude to those who have kindly provided the information used in this investigation. Sincere thanks are also expressed to friends and colleagues for their advice and suggestions.

Thanks are also expressed to the academic councils and administrative authorities of King Saud University for granting the Author a sabbatical leave to carry out this investigation, which was completed on the 15th of Jumada Al Awwal 1407 A.H. (December 16, 1986 A.D.), and other investigations of educational and organizational relevance.

#### Appendix

#### **Explanatory Notes**

The Appendix consists of seven tables containing, as examples, the basic data used and analytical results for one college. Description of the contents and the method by which the figures were arrived at are described below.

Table 1A: Contains the basic information used in the investigation and results of the analyses. These , are :

- a) The Grade Point Average, GPA, relative to 5.0 points for each student.
- b) The percentages obtained in the General Certificate of Education, GCE, in subjects required by the college.

c) Marks, %, obtained in groups of similar subjects. Where a student obtained the GCE from a comprehensive school, his GPA, represented by the grade he obtained, was converted to percentage points by use of the relationship which is presented graphically in Fig. 1:

$$M = 16.21 + 32.35 \cdot G - 6.57 \cdot G^2 + 0.68 \cdot G^3$$

where M = mark (%), and  $G = \text{GPA} \ge 2.00$  and  $\text{GPA} \le 5.0$ 

- Table 2A: Contains Spearman's correlation coefficient, " $\rho$ ", for the various combinations of the results excluding zero values.
- Table 3A: Contains Student's "t" for each value of " $\rho$ " calculated using the approximate relationship:

$$t = \rho + \sqrt{(N-2)} / (1-\rho^2)$$

- Table 4A: Contains single-tailed probability values for "t" exceeding the values in Table 3A.
- Table 5A: Contains a symbolic presentation of existence, or otherwise, of correlation between the various combinations of results based on a 5% significance level.
- Table 6A: Similar to Table 5A except that the level of significance used was 64%.
- Table 7A: Contains the number of pairs of non-zero results in each combination; these are the pairs which were analyzed statistically.



FIG. 1. GPA – Mark (%).

## TABLE 1A. GPA & GCE Marks.

## College of Engineering

Table	Headings:									
(1):	GPA relative	to 5.0 pc	oints.	(2): Total	GCE mark	<b>(, %</b> .	(3	): Religious	s Subjects	<b>%</b> .
(4):	Arabic Lang	uage & Th	eoretical t	Subjects, 9	6.		(5	): Science	Subjects,	%.
(6):	Mathematic	s, %		(7): Physi	ics, %		(8	): Chemist	ry, %.	
(9):	English Lang	juage, %.		(10): Non	Academic	activity, %				
No.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	((9)	(10
L	2.87	91	96	88	89	96	86	92	72	100
2	2.23	87	94	94	87	79	82	81	81	97
3	2.33	90	87	93	87	03	83	89	90	80
4	1 99	94	88	88	96	96	95	95	02	
ç	2 27	_	-	_	_		_		,,,	_
6	1.98	84	89	82	80	84	78	66	75	99
7	2.29	85	85	90	83	83	83	65	81	97
8	2.29	80	79	81	77	80	70	69	72	97
9	1.89	81	75	73	86	84	81	82	79	97
10	2.65	81	87	79	74	86	62	60	55	08
11	2 47	_	_	_	-	_	_		_	
12	2.30	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_
13	2.46	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
14	2.24	87	80	84	83	96	97	97	86	-
15	2.05	83	88	81	79	76	71	71	16	-
16	2.19	83	80	76	83	85	76	82	74	99
17	2.00	89	95	88	86	84	79	84	87	99
18	2.40	75	77	68	73	70	71	69	60	100
19	2.09	89	91	84	90	84	87	89	91	100
20	2.27	85	89	83	76	93	81	50	86	991
21	2.28	77	81	77	77	77	54	70	78	96
22	1.95	80	01	90	80	84	89	96	82	09
23	2.05	79	83	87	77	83	79	72	67	
24	2.05	81	81	86	75	87	78	69	82	97
25	2.10	85	80	84	86	81	01	03	76	75 07
25	2.45	76	01	61	00	70	05	01	10	97
20	2.12	07	71	04	90	72	95	00	07	100
27	2.20	71 97	90	74	99	90	33	33	97	100
20	2.07	8/ 75	85 75	80 74	76	8/	80	92	82	97
29	2.33	15	75	/4 92	70	77	80	08	09	-
30	2.29	81 84	19	80	16	/3	/5	87	80	9/
31	2.17	80	90	90 47	84 70	84	/3	80	13	95
32	2.00	/4 07	83 80	07	70	00	00	5/	0/	100
33	2.83	8/	89	65	80	88	90		82	97
34	2.29	81 82	92	8/ 70	83	70	/5	91	60	
35	3.48	83	82	/8	80 80	84	84	/3	/1	100
20	3.24	90	97	91	89	84	/5	91	85	95
37	2.50	84	83	87	81	84	81	82	83	97
30	2.89	8J 79	91	20	74	82	70	75	8/	100
39	2.33	/8	/9	75	/4	82	/9	70	72	96
40	2.74	80	83	/6	88	92	86	81	81	100
41	2.13	8/	80	91	8/	8/	83	91	77	96
42	2.53	78	71	73	75	86	73	77	73	96
43	3.16	84	80	67	76	80	72	67	56	99
44	2.30	86	91	90	83	83	81	76	80	97
45	2.77	73	82	70	67	75	65	63	63	84
46	2.98	69	70	59	69	73	71	74	63	-
47	2.26	81	92	89	71	81	68	65	72	95
48	2.25	76	84	69	69	79	65	70	63	96
49	2.44	82	87	84	76	78	70	74	74	99
50	3.75	83	84	81	80	86	82	72	75	99

## TABLE 2A. Spearman's correlation coefficients.

## College of Engineering

## Table Column and Row Headings:

(1):	: GPA			(2): To	tal GCE ma	ark	(3): Religious Subjects						
(4)	: Arabic L	.anguage &	Theoretica	al Subjects			(5): Science Subjects						
(6)	: Mathem	atics		(7): Ph	ysics		3)	(8): Chemistry					
(9)	: English	Language		(10): No	n-Academi	c activity							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)			
(1)	-	-0.066	-0.172	-0.155	-0.134	0.053	-0.103	-0.106	-0.136	0.103			
(2)	-0.066	-	0.607	0.783	0.809	0.730	0.635	0.630	0.723	0.187			
(3)	-0.172	0.607		0.630	0.483	0.211	0.236	0.315	0.316	0.165			
(4)	-0.155	0.783	0.630	-	0.591	0.455	0.413	0.499	0.622	-0.198			
(5)	-0.134	0.809	0.483	0.591		0.549	0.804	0.784	0.541	0.214			
(6)	0.053	0.730	0.211	0.455	0.549	-	0.587	0.434	0.607	0.164			
(7)	-0.103	0.635	0.236	0.413	0.804	0.587	-	0.600	0.490	0.258			
(8)	-0.106	0.630	0.315	0.499	0.784	0.434	0.600	_	0.501	0.093			
(9)	-0.136	0.723	0.316	0.622	0.541	0.607	0.490	0.501	-	0.044			
(10)	0.103	0.187	0.165	-0.198	0.214	0.164	0.258	0. <b>093</b>	0.044	-			

TABLE 3A. Values of student's "7".

## College of Engineering

#### Table Column and Row Headings:

(1):	GPA			(2): To	tal GCE me	irk	(3	l): Religiou	s Subjects				
(4)	Arabic L	.anguage &	Theoretic	al Subjects			(5): Science Subjects						
(6)	Mathem	atics		(7): Ph	ysics		(8						
(9)	: English I	Language		(10): No	on-Academi	cactivity							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)			
(1)	_	-0.441	-1.156	-1.040	-0.894	0.354	-0.688	-0.705	0.908	0.627			
(2)	-0.441	_	5.071	8.354	9.117	7.095	5.445	5.379	6.936	1.156			
(3)	-1.156	5.071	-	5. <b>386</b>	3.662	1.430	1.610	2.205	2.209	1.017			
(4)	-1.040	8.354	5.386	-	4.862	3.387	3.007	3.818	5.268	-1.230			
(5)	-0.894	9.117	3.662	4.862		4.361	8.968	8.371	4.263	1.330			
(6)	0.354	7.095	1.430	3.387	4.361	-	4.814	3.196	5.069	1.009			
(7)	-0.688	5.445	1.610	3.007	8.968	4.814	-	4.976	3.726	1.627			
(8)	-0.705	5.379	2.205	3.818	8.371	3.196	4.976	-	3.835	0.570			
(9)	-0.908	6.936	2.209	5.268	4.263	5.069	3.726	3.835	_	0.270			
(10)	0.627	1.156	1.017	-1.230	1.330	1,009	1.627	0.570	0.270				

## TABLE 4A. Values of single-tailed probability.

#### College of Engineering

ς.

## Table Column and Row Headings:

(1):	GPA			(2): Tot	tal GCE ma	rk	(3): Religious Subjects					
(4):	Arabic L	anguage &	Theoretica	I Subjects			(5): Science Subjects					
(6):	Mathem	atics		(7): Ph	ysics		(8	(8): Chemistry				
(9):	English I	_anguage		(10): No	n-Academi	c activity						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)		
(1)	-	0.669	0.873	0.848	0.812	0.362	0.753	0.758	0.816	0.267		
(2)	0.669	-	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.127		
(3)	0.873	0.000	-	0.000	0.000	0.080	0.057	0.016	0.016	0.158		
(4)	0.848	0.000	0.000	-	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.887		
(5)	0.812	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.096		
(6)	0.362	0.000	0.080	0.001	0.000	-	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.159		
(7)	0.753	0.000	0.057	0.002	0.000	0.000	-	0.000	0.000	0.056		
(8)	0.758	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	-	0.000	0.286		
(9)	0.816	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	0.394		
(10)	0.267	0.127	0.158	0.887	0.096	0.159	0.056	0.286	0.394	_		

TABLE 5A. Correlation table for a 5% significance level.

## College: Engineering

(1).	GPA			(2): To	tal GCE ma	ark	(3): Religious Subjects				
(1).	Arabic I	anguaga &	Theoretic	al Subiects			(5	(5): Science Subjects			
(6):	Mathem	atics		(7): Ph	ysica		(8	stry			
(9):	English	Language		(10): No	n-Academi	ic activity					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
(1)	_	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
(2)	NC	_	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	NC	
(3)	NC	+ C	_	+ C	+ C	NC	NC	+ C	+ C	NC	
(4)	NC	+C	+ C		+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	NC	
(5)	NC	+C	+ C	+ C	-	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	NC	
(6)	NC	+C	NC	+ C	+ C		+ C	+ C	+ C	NC	
(7)	NC	+ C	NC	+ C	+ C	+ C		+ C	+ C	NC	
(8)	NC	+C	+C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C		+ C	NC	
(9)	NC	+ C	+C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	-	NC	
(10)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	-	

+C = positive correlation, -C = negative correlation, NC = no correlation.

TABLE 6A. Correlation table for a 64% significance level.

#### College: Engineering

Table	Colum	n and Rov	w Headi	ngs:									
(1):	GPA			(2): To	tal GCE m	ark		(3): Religiou	s Subjects				
(4):	Arabic I	anguage &	Theoretic	al Subjects				(5): Science	Subjects				
(6):	Mathem	natics		(7): Ph	ysics			(8): Chemis	try				
(9):	English	Language	ge (10): Non-Academic activity			ic activity							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)			
(1)		NC	NC	NC	NC	+ C	NC	NC	NC	+ C			
(2)	NC	-	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C			
(3)	NC	+ C		+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C			
(4)	NC	+ C	+ C	-	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	NC			
(5)	NC	+ C	+ C	+ C	-	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C			
(6)	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	-	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C			
(7)	NC	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	-	+ C	+ C	+ C			
(8)	NC	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	-	+ C	+ C			
(9)	NC	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	$\rightarrow$	+ C			
(10)	+ C	+ C	+ C	NC	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	+ C	-			

+C = positive correlation, ·C = negative correlation, NC = no correlation.

TABLE 7A. Number of paired non-zero students' marks.

#### College of Engineering

(1): GPA (2): Total GCE mark (3): Religious:   (4): Arabic Language & Theoretical Subjects (5): Science S   (6): Mathematics (7): Physics (8): Chemistry   (9): English Language (10): Non-Academic activity (8): Chemistry   (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)   (1) - 46 46 46 46 46 46   (1) - 46 46 46 46 46 46   (2) 46 - 46 46 46 46 46   (3) 46 46 46 46 46 46 46   (3) 46 46 - 46 46 46 46   (3) 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46   (3) 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46   (3) 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46   (4) 46	s Subjects Subjects ry (9)	(10)
(4): Arabic Language & Theoretical Subjects (5): Science S   (6): Mathematics (7): Physics (8): Chemistry   (9): English Language (10): Non-Academic activity (8): Chemistry   (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)   (1) - 46 46 46 46 46 46 46   (2) 46 - 46 46 46 46 46 46   (3) 46 46 - 46 46 46 46 46   (4) 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46   (5) 46 46 46 - 46 46 46 46	Subjects ry (9)	(10)
(6): Mathematics   (7): Physics   (8): Chemistry     (9): English Language   (10): Non-Academic activity   (8): Chemistry     (1)   (2)   (3)   (4)   (5)   (6)   (7)   (8)     (1)   -   46   46   46   46   46   46     (2)   46   -   46   46   46   46   46     (3)   46   46   -   46   46   46   46     (4)   46   46   46   46   46   46   46     (4)   46   46   46   46   46   46   46     (5)   46   46   46   -   46   46   46	ry (9)	(10)
(9): English Language (10): Non-Academic activity   (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)   (1) - 46 46 46 46 46 46   (2) 46 - 46 46 46 46 46   (3) 46 46 - 46 46 46 46   (4) 46 46 46 46 46 46 46   (4) 46 46 46 - 46 46 46   (5) 46 46 46 - 46 46 46	(9)	(10)
	(9)	(10)
	46	39
(3) 46 46 46 46 46 46   (4) 46 46 46 - 46 46 46   (5) 46 46 46 - 46 46 46	46	39
(4) 46 46 46 - 46 46 46 46 (5) 46 46 46 46 - 46 46 46	46	39
(5) 46 46 46 - 46 46 46	46	39
	46	39
(6) 46 46 46 46 - 46 46	46	39
(7) 46 46 46 46 46 - 46	46	39
(8) 46 46 46 46 46 46 -	46	39
(9) 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46	-	39
(10) 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	39	-

Note: Figures in the table represent the numbers of students considered in the analyses. The difference between each figure and the maximum, 50, is due to lack of information as explained earlier

#### **References and Bibliography**

- [1] Cooper, B.E., Statistics for Experimentalists, 1st. ed., Pergamon Press, New York, p. 293 (1969).
- [2] Labani, J.M., The evaluation of the academic records of students, (Arabic text), Journal of King Saud University, Educational Sciences, 2: 17-45 (1990).
- [3] Guisti, J.P., High school averages as a prediction of college success: A survey of literature, *College and University*, 39: 200-209 (1964).
- [4] Austin, A.W., Predicting academic performance in college: Selectivity data for 2,300 American colleges, Free Press, New York (1971).
- [5] Alraegi, A.H., A study of the predictive validity of twelve grade transcript data on freshman college G.P.A. for science majors, colleges of education, Saudi Arabia, Doctoral dissertation. University of Northern Colorado (1981).

# دراسة عن الصلة بين التحصيل العلمي للطلاب في مؤسسات التعليم العالي ومتطلبات القبول

المستخلص . تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تحديد الصلة بين متطلبات القبول في الكليات الجامعية والتحصيل العلمي للطلاب أثناء دراستهم الجامعية . وقد أُجريت الدراسة على ستهائة طالب من اثنتي عشرة كلية اختيرت سجلاتهم التعليمية عشوائيًا وحللت بالوسائل الإحصائية .

يتبين من الدراسة أن الصلة بين متطلبات القبول والتحصيل العلمي تكاد تكون منعدمة بالمعايير الإحصائية . ولتصحيح هذا الخلل اقترح في هذه الدراسة بعض التعديلات على وسائل التعليم العام في مراحله النهائية وعلى سياسة القبول في الجامعات والتنظيم العام للجهاز المسؤول عن التعليم .